

## CONSERVATION ADVISORY WORKING PARTY

Tuesday, 27th September, 2016  
Time of Commencement: 7.00pm

**Present:-** Councillor Wenslie Naylor – in the Chair  
  
Councillors Miss J Cooper, Johnson, and Wright  
  
Representing Mr D Broome – Newcastle Civic Society  
Outside Mr C Wakeling – Staffordshire Historic Buildings Trust  
Bodies Mr J Whieldon – Newcastle Civic Society  
  
Officers Louise Wallace  
  
Apologies Councillor Gardner and Dr Fisher

### 8. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest stated.

### 9. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

**Resolved:** That the minutes of the meeting held on 6 September, 2016 be agreed as a correct record.

### 10. PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED APPLICATIONS

**Resolved:** That the decisions on applications previously considered by this Working Party be received.

### 11. NEW APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

**Resolved:-** That the following observations be made on the application listed below:-

2 Marsh Parade. 16/00630/FUL

The Working Party thinks that the general massing and proportions of the proposal are acceptable. Given the context of this proposal, however the details and quality of the development are essential in terms of the specification including the brickwork. Concerns are raised over the appearance of the undercroft opening and its asymmetry and the WP suggests that the opening is widened to match the width of ground floor windows. The proposed wall may help to mitigate some of the concerns about the ground floor but more details are required (the wall is not shown on elevation plan) and “concrete wall” annotation does not provide enough detail to be convinced of the quality. The material shown on the ground floor openings is assumed might be timber which in this context is not considered appropriate. The Working Party also considers that the window divisions at all levels should be divided symmetrically.

101 London Road, Knighton 16/00564/LBC

The Working Party opinion on this proposal was divided, some members did not object on the basis that they felt the building was not of any particular quality and made no visual

**Conservation Advisory Working Party - 27/09/16**

contribution to the streetscene. Other members felt that it did add something to the built form along the road, was in keeping with adjoining houses and its removal would have some impact on the setting of the listed terrace. Some members also felt that there was potential benefit in any scheme to retain a clear boundary to the adjacent property.

**12. ARTICLE 4 DIRECTION FOR MADELEY AND AUDLEY CONSERVATION AREAS**

**Resolved:** That it be noted that the Working Party fully supports confirmation of the Direction as set out in the Direction

**13. CONSERVATION AND HERITAGE FUND**

There were no applications.

**14. URGENT BUSINESS**

There was no Urgent Business.

**COUNCILLOR WENSLIE NAYLON**  
**Chair**

Meeting concluded at 8.00 pm